



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,167	05/31/2006	Chang-Yong Lee	4220-129 US	1363

26817 7590 10/07/2011
MATHEWS, SHEPHERD, MCKAY, & BRUNEAU, P.A.
29 THANET ROAD, SUITE 201
PRINCETON, NJ 08540

EXAMINER

THAKUR, VIREN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1782

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/07/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/581,167	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner VIREN THAKUR	Art Unit 1782	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VIREN THAKUR. (3) ____.

(2) Diane Dunn (applicant's representative). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner proposed amendments in a telephone call to Ms. Dunn that were thought to overcome the rejection but upon further consideration and further search, the examiner informed Ms. Dunn that the proposed amendment would not overcome prior art addressing the amended claim limitations.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/VIREN THAKUR/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782	
---	--